GESS

ANNEX 1: DESK APPRAISAL FORM

	roject Name: MWASI CASS roject Location:
4. 1	
	Name of the area BALAWA NORTH TA KALEMBO Ward BWAILA
	TAKALEMBO
	Ward BWAILA
	VDC_ DAILESI
3. El	igibility Criteria
i.	Is the project derived from DDP? Or VAP? Or DDPF? Yes [\[\sqrt{]} \] No []
ii.	Is the project in line with DDF guidelines on investment menu? Yes [✓] No []
iii.	Is the Project cost within the funding ceilings of the development budget of the council? Yes [\sqrt{]} No []
iv.	Does the area/VDC have any history of project management? How many projects has VDC successfully implemented Yes [/ No [] , Number []
v.	Are there uncompleted projects under the council in the proposed project site? Yes [No []
vi.	Is there adequate access to the site, and are transport facilities satisfactory?
	Yes [√] No []
vii.	Has land been secured for use by the project?
	Yes [/ No [] N/A []
	If Yes, How?
	1. Will use public land [] 2. Voluntary Donation [1] 3. Purchase/compensation []
viii.	Will the project have irreversible and unmanageable negative effects on the environment?
	Yes [] No []

	-	_		_
4	Tec	hn	ica	1

i.	Sector of the Project. EDUCATION	
ii.	Number of beneficiaries [Male]	
iii.	Distance to the nearest structure (KM)	
	Has the sector endorsed proposed project in the proposed site? yes [1 No []	

5. Appraisal Criteria

CRITERIA		SCORE (Tick against the score)		
B. PROJECT APPRAISAL				
(On a scale of 0-2, where: "0" means unsatisfactory and "2" very satisfactory	<i>'</i>)			
(i) Is the project consistent with the national, sector and district priorities?	0	1	(2)	
(ii) Is the project drawn from DDP? Or VAPs?	0	1	(2)	
(iii) Can the relevant ministry/department provide any needed technical				
support to ensure sustainability of the Project (Verify with the relevant department)	0	1	2	
(iv) To what extent is the Project likely to benefit the poor/unemployed youth? (viability analysis)	0	1	2	
(v) Does the project site permit easy access for all beneficiaries without limitation or impediment? (Assess location and land ownership issues if any)	0	1	2	
(vi) Is the project size of the project simple enough to be implemented in one year?	0	1	2	
(vii) Is the technology used readily available in the district?	0	1	(2)	
(viii) Is there a sound Project sustainability plan?	0	1	(2)	
(ix) What is the level of experience does the council have to manage such project?	0	1	2	
TOTAL SCORE (0 to 18)			18	

6. Overall Assessment

Assessment	Decision Guide	Tick as appropriate	
Recommended for prioritisation	 If the answer to all the questions in section 3 (Eligibility Criteria) is "YES" If the overall score for section 5 (Appraisal Criteria) is ≥12(above 67%) 		
Deferred	 If the answer to any of the questions in section 5 (Eligibility Criteria) is "NO". If the overall score for section 5(Appraisal Criteria) is less than 12 (less than 67%) 		

•	If the project requires changes in	
	certain areas.	

7. Appraisal Team

Name	Designation	Signature	Date	Messella -
1. M=Donald Mys	ica dedo	MANNE	6 16/d	2,12,121
2. File Chiunous	to Deo	STARSWA	2-16 01	2/2021
3. Ignative G. Kas	neni DEM	Still	161.12	2/2021
4. Jogce Chind	est CA	(DE)	161	2 2021
5. Davis Kono	uds M9E	1	16/12	12021