ANNEX 1: DESK APPRAISAL FORM

	oject Name: oject Location:	MRAMWA MARKET SHED - DDF
	Name of the area	MROMNA MARKET
	TA	M ROMWA
	Ward	MGONNA MARKET MGONNA MUSUNGAZI MGONNA
	VDC	MECHANA
3. El	igibility Criteria	
i.	Is the project derived Yes [/]	from DDP? Or VAP? Or DDPF?
ii.	Is the project in line w Yes [✓] No []	with DDF guidelines on investment menu?
iii.	Is the Project cost with Yes [\(\square\) No []	nin the funding ceilings of the development budget of the council?
iv.	VDC successfully imp	eve any history of project management? How many projects has blemented Number []
v.	Are there uncompleted Yes [] No [🗸	I projects under the council in the proposed project site?
vi.	Is there adequate acces	ss to the site, and are transport facilities satisfactory?
	Yes [√] No []	
vii.	Has land been secured	for use by the project?
	Yes [/ No [] 1	V/A []
	If Yes, How?	
	1. Will use public land	I [✓] 2. Voluntary Donation [] 3. Purchase/compensation [

viii.	Will the project have irreversible and unmanageable negative effects on the environment?
-------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Yes [] No [🗸

4. Tec	hnical:
i.	
ii.	Number of beneficiaries [Male]
iii.	Distance to the nearest structure (KM)2\
iv.	Has the sector endorsed proposed project in the proposed site? yes [I No []

5. Appraisal Criteria

CRITERIA	SCORE			
P. DDOUECT ADDRAIGAL	(Tick a	(Tick against the score)		
B. PROJECT APPRAISAL				
(On a scale of 0-2, where: "0" means unsatisfactory and "2" very satisfactor	ry)		^	
(i) Is the project consistent with the national, sector and district priorities?	0	1	(2)	
(ii) Is the project drawn from DDP? Or VAPs?	0	1	0	
(iii) Can the relevant ministry/department provide any needed technical				
support to ensure sustainability of the Project (Verify with the relevant department)	0	1	2	
(iv) To what extent is the Project likely to benefit the poor/unemployed	0		12	
youth? (viability analysis)	U	(1)	2	
(v) Does the project site permit easy access for all beneficiaries without		1	0	
limitation or impediment? (Assess location and land ownership issues if any)	0	1 (2)	(2)	
(vi) Is the project size of the project simple enough to be implemented in one year?		1	2	
(vii) Is the technology used readily available in the district?	0	1	2	
(viii) Is there a sound Project sustainability plan?	0		6	
(ix) What is the level of experience does the council have to manage such project?	0	1	(2)	
TOTAL SCORE (0 to 18)				
	16	Y18		
6. Overall Assessment	/	10		

6. Overall Assessment

Assessment	Decision Guide	Tick as appropriate
Recommended for prioritisation	 If the answer to all the questions in section 5 (Eligibility Criteria) is "YES" If the overall score for section 5 (Appraisal Criteria) is >12(above) 	

	67%)	
Deferred	 If the answer to any of the questions in section 5 (Eligibility Criteria) is "NO". If the overall score for section 5(Appraisal Criteria) is less than 12 (less than 67%) If the project requires changes in certain areas. 	

7. Appraisal Team

Name	Designation	Signature	Date
1. E. CHIHANA	CPO	1 / Feb	24/06/2021
2. D. KONONEU	MIE	HH Q	24-06/2021
3. J. CHINDENC,	A CA		24-06-2021
4. D. ZINGENI	CAENRO	Dizingen	24 .06/2021
5. P. CHIUMBUZO	D LO	10 BURT	24 06 21
		14)	