ANNEX 1: DESK APPRAISAL FORM

1. Pro	oject Name: Mbern Resource Centre - GESD
2. Pro	oject Location:
	Name of the area Balaya North Const Thenry
	TAMberg
	Ward Liwandal 2
	VDCMberg
3. Eli	gibility Criteria
i.	Is the project derived from DDP? Or VAP? Or DDPF? Yes [✓] No []
ii.	Is the project in line with DDF guidelines on investment menu? Yes [] No []
iii.	Is the Project cost within the funding ceilings of the development budget of the council? Yes [No []
iv.	Does the area/VDC have any history of project management? How many projects has VDC successfully implemented Yes [] No [] , Number []
v.	Are there uncompleted projects under the council in the proposed project site? Yes [] No []
vi.	Is there adequate access to the site, and are transport facilities satisfactory?
	Yes [✓ No []
vii.	Has land been secured for use by the project?
	Yes [] No [] N/A []
	If Yes, How?
	1. Will use public land [] 2. Voluntary Donation [] 3. Purchase/compensation []

viii.	Will the project have irreversible and unmanageable negative effects on the environment?							
	Yes [] No [
4. Tec	hnical:							
i.	Sector of the Project. Number of beneficiaries [Male]. [Female].							
ii.	Number of beneficiaries [Male]	14	,200					
iii.	i. Distance to the nearest structure (KM). 21							
iv.	iv. Has the sector endorsed proposed project in the proposed site? yes [\(\sqrt{No} \) []							
5. App	oraisal Criteria							
CRITERIA			SCORE					
		(Tick against the score)						
	ECT APPRAISAL							
	cale of 0-2, where: "0" means unsatisfactory and "2" very satisfactory	1)						
	e project consistent with the national, sector and district priorities?	0	1	12				
	e project drawn from DDP? Or VAPs?	0	1	(2)				
	the relevant ministry/department provide any needed technical			0				
	to ensure sustainability of the Project (Verify with the relevant	0	1	2				
	department)							
	what extent is the Project likely to benefit the poor/unemployed (viability analysis)	0	1	2				
	s the project site permit easy access for all beneficiaries without on or impediment? (Assess location and land ownership issues if any)	0	1	2				
	e project size of the project simple enough to be implemented in	0	1	(2)				
	ne technology used readily available in the district?	0	(1)	2				
	here a sound Project sustainability plan?	0	1	6				
	at is the level of experience does the council have to manage such		1					
project?		0	1	(2)				
TOTAL S	CORE (0 to 18)		1	-				
6. Ove	rali Assessment nent Decision Guide	T: I		1/18				
Masessi	Decision Guide	Tick as a	ppropr	rate				

If the answer to all the questions in section 5 (Eligibility Criteria) is

If the overall score for section 5 (Appraisal Criteria) is ≥12(above

"YES"

Recommended for

prioritisation

	67%)	
	 If the answer to any of the questions in section 5 (Eligibility Criteria) is "NO". 	
Deferred	If the overall score for section 5(Appraisal	
	Criteria) is less than 12 (less than 67%)	
	 If the project requires changes in certain areas. 	

7. Appraisal Team

Name	Designation	Signature	Date
1.1. KAMEN	DEM	Alvo	24-06-2021
2. DR. K. KAT	ENSA DHSS	8	24-06-2021
3. 1 . MKWEZA	YLAMBA EDO	In solo N	24-06-2021
4.M. NYAW	4 0080	funnice	24/06/2021
5. P. CHIUME	M70 DL0	TERM 7	20/06/21
		29	