MF

ANNEX 1: DESK APPRAISAL FORM

	roject Name: DHO GUARDIAN SHELTER roject Location:
	Name of the area BALAKA NORTH
	TA_ KAPALAMULA
	Ward LIWAWABZI
	Ward LIWAWABZI WDC MPONDA VE, KABAJAMUJA VBC
3. El	ligibility Criteria
i.	Is the project derived from DDP? Or VAP? Or DDPF? Yes [] No []
ii.	Is the project in line with DDF guidelines on investment menu? Yes [] No []
iii.	Is the Project cost within the funding ceilings of the development budget of the council? Yes [No []
iv.	Does the area/VDC have any history of project management? How many projects has VDC successfully implemented Yes [V] No [] , Number []
v.	Are there uncompleted projects under the council in the proposed project site? Yes [No [V]
vi.	Is there adequate access to the site, and are transport facilities satisfactory?
	Yes [/ No []
vii.	Has land been secured for use by the project?
	Yes [🗸 No [] N/A []
	If Yes, How?
	1. Will use public land [] 2. Voluntary Donation [] 3. Purchase/compensation []
iii.	Will the project have irreversible and unmanageable negative effects on the environment? Yes [] No [\sqrt{]}

	ST. 22		
1	100	hnical	•
4.	1 00	unicai	•

i.	Sector of the Project	- 1	H	 	 	 	٠.	 			• • •				
								-	2	2	à.	•	1	22	

ii. Number of beneficiaries [Male]. 200,000 [Female]. 200,000

iv. Has the sector endorsed proposed project in the proposed site? yes [V No []

5. Appraisal Criteria

CRITERIA	SCORE (Tick against the score)								
B. PROJECT APPRAISAL									
(On a scale of 0-2, where: "0" means unsatisfactory and "2" very satisfactory)								
(i) Is the project consistent with the national, sector and district priorities?	0	1	2						
(ii) Is the project drawn from DDP? Or VAPs?	0	1	2						
(iii) Can the relevant ministry/department provide any needed technical support to ensure sustainability of the Project (Verify with the relevant department)	0	1	2						
(iv) To what extent is the Project likely to benefit the poor/unemployed youth? (viability analysis)	0	1	2						
(v) Does the project site permit easy access for all beneficiaries without limitation or impediment? (Assess location and land ownership issues if any)	0	1	2						
(vi) Is the project size of the project simple enough to be implemented in one year?	0	1	2						
(vii) Is the technology used readily available in the district?	0	1	(2)						
(viii) Is there a sound Project sustainability plan?	0	1	2						
(ix) What is the level of experience does the council have to manage such project?	0	1	2						
TOTAL SCORE (0 to 18)			18						

6. Overall Assessment

Assessment	Decision Guide	Tick as appropriate				
Recommended for prioritisation	 If the answer to all the questions in section 3 (Eligibility Criteria) is "YES" If the overall score for section 5 (Appraisal Criteria) is ≥12(above 67%) 					
Deferred	 If the answer to any of the questions in section 5 (Eligibility Criteria) is "NO". If the overall score for section 5(Appraisal Criteria) is less than 12 (less than 67%) 					

	 If the project requires changes in certain areas. 	
--	---	--

7. Appraisal Team

Name	Designation	Signature	Date
1. Engene 4. Kounda	DHSS	Eikamoa	14/12/2021
2. IDA UNIVERAGORA	ESO	Som sombs	14/12/2021
3. Davis Konondo	MGE	e e	14/12/2021
4. Jose Chindersa	CA		14/12/2021
5. Mele Chrismontzo	100	1 STABOUT X	1912/2021