

## GOVERNANCE TO ENABLE SERVICE DELIVERY (GESD) PROJECT

### **ENVIRONMENTAL & SOCIAL SCREENING FORM**

	NAME OF PROPOS	SED PROJECT:	
Thefula C	DSS office F	WEK	
	RACTERISTICS OF THE PROF GVH, Ward, TA, and District)		f the project
TA-Nthac GVH-Kasu Coordinates (UTM):	hu Za inchira N	-Dincrease a school of reduce of Preduce Con	CLESS to  Stance for  It of Education
Key components of the Pre ancillary/anxillary facilities	[투발] 시작을 잃었는데 하면 하는데	Size of the Project (m, km people, seating capacity, E	
4. procurement	- VID Catrine Nerva Water Notice of Office turnitur	V	HA
	t and technologies expecte		D
Material/Equipment	Source	Mode of delivery	Permit required?
Course aggregates	hills	Lorry	Council purm
fine aggregates	LAW RATE FOR	m Forry	11
From 4n + Block	extitled Con	ipany 11	Test Oprtifical
Timber	Repaistured	,1(	forestry p
	•		

Key activities expected to be undertaken during pre-construction, construction and operation phases of

the project

	Astruction Phase	Construction Phase	Demobilisation Phase Operation Phase
	Starceholder	- Site cluer	ring Demolition of Dusk of facility
	congagaments	and uxcar	The state of the s
	A City on Churchox	- (no struction	on To manner
1	sland acquisiti	on of main l	shilding Thomany + procurement
	p project design		the like of consumables
0	bill of quantit	13	toBackfilling and operation
1	cost estimates		of temporary mater, a
-	CAU Porttung		BC ( 1/18/1 has of
4	Fixing a coost Dur		akbris/w iose
-> [	contractor	1 1 0	- Hand Scaping
A (		CIO-ECONOMIC CHAR	RACTERISTICS OF THE PROJECT SITE
	Physical Fea ures on or aro		Tonography, Soil, Climate, Rainfall, Vegetation and
	land ownership status, adec	Α .	biodiversity,
	There is	one block	Constructions the wall workled
	with tw	o class	on The Carlo A 13 was be class
		11/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1	The soil is woun to clay
	of lander	Cortillion	Destainfuir ranges from
	1-1 in Add NC 8	vy ung	11 - 2 15
	land agrup	munt torm	800
i			
	15 availa	bere	
	15 dvaila	ber	-D Surrounded by miombo
	Social Economic Activities		Any environmental, social, economic, governance factors
	15 availa		Any environmental, social, economic, governance factors that may affect usability/sustainability of the project (-/+)
	Social Economic Activities	in the area or site	Any environmental, social, economic, governance factors that may affect usability/sustainability of the project (-/+)
	Social Economic Activities  - Farmy -	in the area or site  hor Hu Hu	Any environmental, social, economic, governance factors that may affect usability/sustainability of the project (-/+)
	Social Economic Activities  - Farmy -  Cop 8	in the area or site  hor hin itm  Livestock	Any environmental, social, economic, governance factors that may affect usability/sustainability of the project (-/+)  - has village Nuture resources committee (VMRC)
	Social Economic Activities  - Farmy -	in the area or site  hor hin itm  Livestock	Any environmental, social, economic, governance factors that may affect usability/sustainability of the project (-/+)  - has village Nuture resources committee (VMRC)
	Social Economic Activities  - Farmy -  Cop 8	in the area or site  hor hin itm  Livestock	Any environmental, social, economic, governance factors that may affect usability/sustainability of the project (-/+)  - has village Nuture resources Committee  I D V D C  D AM
	Social Economic Activities  - Farmy -  Cop 8	in the area or site  hor hin itm  Livestock	Any environmental, social, economic, governance factors that may affect usability/sustainability of the project (-/+)  - has village Nuture resources Committee  I D V D C

# B. IDENTINICATION OF POTENTIAL RISKS/IMPACTS THEIR SIGNIFICANCE AND POSSIBLE MITIGATION MEASURES

	Impacts/Risks Will the project generate the following negative risks/impacts?	Appra	isal	Details on nature of impact (Type, source, affected elements, number e.t.c)	Sign	iificai	ice'	Notes on possible mitigation measures (based on the hierarchy of 'avoid, reduce, mitigate, rehabilitate')	
		Yes	No		L	M	H		
1.0	Occupational Health and Safety Impacts								
1.1	Will the works require large number (e.g., more than 30) of stan and laborers from outside the local area?		V						
1.2	Will the infrastructure works require a worker's camp?'[ If "Yes", how many workers are expected to occupy the camp?	V		Wil than Works	V			exoper west	
1.3	Are the works activities prone to hazards, risks and could result in accidents and injuries to workers during construction or operation?	V	_	Aces dients from working hights cut from Shave Poble	y with		-	any & hadder of provision of ful	
1.4	Will there be Occupational Health and Safety (OHS) risks from handling of equipment?	V		from Constr muching	uction	h	-	ground construct	
1.5	Is here a risk of flooding during construction/rehabilitation? (wet season or by project activities)		V					P 1010	
2.0	<b>Environmental Impacts</b>						-		
2.1	Will the operating noise level of the new/rehabilitated infrastructure exceed the allowable noise limits?							Ton 1111 Material	
2.2	Will the operation result in emission of significant amounts of dust?	V		dir polluti		elus		Sprinkly Whiter	
2.3	Is there a possibility that the works will lead to any cor amination and pollution?		,	works my		m		timult waste	
2.4	Will the operation involve use of considerable amounts of natural resources (construction materials, trees) or may lead to their depletion or degradation at points of source?	t		brick born Sand, guar mining	ry.			-USIX CAMENT. block - Obtann Bun Minny	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> When assigning the level of significance of the Impact (i.e. L/M/H), the evaluation team needs to analyze the probability of occurrence (how likely), magnitude/severity of impact, spatial scale (e.g. Project site only?, local area?, regional area?), temporal scale of the impact(i.e. immediate?, short term?, medium term? continuous), frequency (i.e. once, intermittent or continuous?) and impact reversibility.

	Impacts/Risks Will the project generate the following negative risks/impacts?	Appraisal		Details on nature of impact (Type, source, affected elements, number e.t.c)	Sign	nificance <sup>1</sup>		Notes on possible mitigation measures (based on the hierarchy of 'avoid, reduce, mitigate, rehabilitate')
		Yes	No	c.c.cy	L	M	H	
2.5	Is there a likelihood of informal traders establishing business at the subproject site? (waste generation and resource depletion, increased safety risk)			orwall quant orwaste to by general	HAS L	/		-aware ness on a management -ne chiny, re-
2.6	Will the works generate solid or liquid wastes? (including human excreta/sewage) If "Yes", does the sub-project include a plan for their adequate collection and disposal? Will there be a wash bay?	$\checkmark$		thoban, m.	e V	nsi		mover by the bear of construction we publish pit
2.7	Will the works generate hazardous waste?	V		paint	V			- proper Storae
2.8	Will there be any soil or water contemination and degradation of water bodies?		V					
2.9	Is there a likelihoods of spillage and increased sediment load into water courses during construction activities and loss of riparian buffers?		V					
2.10	Will the works or operations lead reduced water quality and quantity?		V				-	C. Hart Cand Mil
2.11	De-stabilisation of river banks and or drainage system due to sand mining	V			V	1_		Dermit
2.12	Based upon visual inspection or available literature, are there areas of possible geologic or soil instability (prone to: soil erosion, landslide, subsidence, earthquake etc.)?		V					
2.14	Based upon visual inspection or available literature, are there areas prone to floods, poorly drained, low- lying, or in a depression or block run- off water.		V					1 1 2 1 1 1
2.15	Could natural hazards (droughts and flocis) exacerbate risk during project contraction of operation	V	-					muterial
3.0	Community Health, Safety and Security				1.61		-	- an Stall Sign
3.1	Will community members be at risk of harm or injury during subproject implementation?	V		Cht!	, V	-		ppes
3.2	Will activities of the subproject generate traffic safety issues? Both on site and for the adjacent community?		L	treactes				
3.3	Is subproject site located near to schools or other areas of sensitive or vulnerable persons?		L					
3.4	Is the subproject likely to encounter human-wildlife interactions and/or con. icts?		L					
3.5	Are there informal vendors around the construction site?		し					

	impacts/Risks Will the project generate the following neg tive risks/impacts?		nisal	Details on nature of impact (Type, source, affected elements, number e.t.c)	Sign	Significance <sup>1</sup>		Notes on possible mitigation measures (based on the hierarchy of 'avoid, reduce, mitigate, rehabilitate')
		Yes	No	e.t.c)	L	M	Н	
5.6	Is there a risk that the works may damage damage other water infrastructure on site?		/					The state of the s
3.7	Will the subproject contribute to increased risk for spread of communicable diseases to the community	V		mercial of covid us clotum	V			awareness on improved good Hygiene
3.8	Would the project workforce, materials and equipment be at any risk from the community and vice versa?		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	yandahis Intert	nV		4	- community pol- Signage
3.9	Considering the social, cultural, governance, and security set up of the surr unding community, would the long term sustainability of the project be at any risk?		~	. 5. 1				
3.10	Do the subproject activities (including supporting activities and processes) have potential to disturb the social fabric of the surrounding community?		1					
3.11	Could the subproject lead to discrimination of certain societal groups?		V					
	Could the beneficiary selection be contested?	1	/					
4.0	Resettlement and/or Land							
4.1	Acquisition Impacts  Will the subproject require new borrow pits, quarries, temporary use of land?  E.g. stockpiling,parking, construction camps, etc.	V		threat to merease as of stagnar	tel (			backtiling to
4.2	Wil involuntary resettlement, land acquisition, relocation of property, or loss, denial or restriction of access to land and other economic resources be a result of the rehabilitation of the infrastructures works or any other project activities?	,	V					
4.3	Will the construction/ rehabilitation of the infrastructures works or any other project activities result in the permanent or temporary loss of crops, fruit trees, infra-structure (such as granaries, outside toilets and kitchens, livestock shed etc.), and/or business infrastructure (such as permanent stalls).	V		FREW Frank	11 C			planting
4.4	Was the land area required for the sub- project subject to a voluntary land donation? If so, were all requirements and proper protocols on this matter followed and respected?		V					
4.5		3	V					
5.0								

	Will th	acts/Risks the project generate the following tive risks/impacts?	Appra	isal	of im	ils on nature npact (Type, rce, affected nents, number		nifican		Notes on possible mitigation measures (based on the hierarchy of 'avoid, reduce, mitigate, rehabilitate')
			Yes	No			L	M	H	
	envir threa signi	there any natural habitats, ironmentally sensitive areas or atened species that could be hificantly converted/adversely seted due to the rehabilitation of		1						
	infra	astructures works?	+		/					
.2	of it	the located within/adjacent to any steeted areas designated by		1						
	rese Key pro	erve, world heritage site etc.) of by Biodiversity Area, or Community otected area e.g. Community	У							- 121AF 1946 S. T. T.
	For	orest?		-		OFFICE STATE				
5.3	Is	s there a possibility that, due to	10,							
	any	ny river or lake ecology will be a versely affected? (including nature	ral		1					
	1	· Wooding Woodinging at	LI C	1	1					
	rei	emnant rainforest resulting in mo	1110							
	an	nd migratory species and continuous	ion				1	1		
		1: -ta ahange!		+						
5.4	-	- I do who affect the rights a	na						1	
(	W	welfare of people and their level	with		./					
1	d	dependence upon or interaction w	ver?	+	-		-+	-	-	
	n	natural resources? E.g. access to 17. Could the works trigger any hun	man					1	1	
	1	·· ·· ·· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-		-+			
	V	wildlife conflict? Impacts on historical, archaeolog	rical					1	1	
6.0	0 1	Impacts on historical, archaeologi or cultural heritage sites								
_		Dand on available sources,					1	1 1		
6.	1 1	Based on available sources, consultation with local authorities,	,					1	1	
	1 1	local knowledge and/or					,	,		
	1	1 could the Works		P	1			1		
		alter any historical, archaeological	1,	117		1	2 = 7			
		the last tage traditional	1			(				
		(sacred, ritual area) site or require	1		1					
		excavation near same?			<del></del>					
-	7.0	Staveholder Engagement	and	1	i J		-1:0/	1		- DU NEC
1	.0	Dodross		-		Umploy	men	Ar	1	promote Ner
1	7.1	Descent of the project activities	s have	V	-	1000	NS	V	1	The state of the
1.	/.1	notential to ligger some girevant	ces?		+	135	·n.n.	A		1 Voca
+	7.2	TIL - input from community		(		Derro	KAND	EN I	1	promote in
1	1.2	ambare and those who may be	1	1		comm	1 TIM	MV		AirlyLoponer
	,	offected by the works of any our	er	11/		NOK-	ADO	-		W.C.
		· t - ctivities been sollyllt!	1	+	-	Tonsu	1 (tu	tion		malla
+	72	Try the subproject received	overall	1		1	1.			PUBLICA
	7.3	stokeholder support including	5 110111	. /		Calleri	201,0	1		Can faltav
		vulnerable individuals	and	V	- SAMV	Davinh	PRIJ			LOV. Ov.
		vanicialized groups?				MUZ H	VW.C	OW	120	
1		11 - stokeholder engagemen	it			TOW AS	SE!	SOR	-	
	7.4	considered vuli	meracio	15/	1	VV	7.			
		individuals and marginalized gr	0	~	1					

#### C. STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION AND INPUT

No.	Type/Category of	Issue/Concern/comment/suggestion	How it has/will be addressed
	Stakeholder	about the project	
11	NIX AAZ	Wanted to know how the	by To be included
V	1) 0 0 130	an young to be myor	in project mat
		in the project	committee
	SURJICH LAW	financial manay xmon	or to be mydud
	Committee	or project	in proport
			Suprvyison
			- transcul word

## D. OVERALL EVALUATION AND DETERMINATION OF TYPE OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL SAFEGAURDS INSTRUMENTS TO BE DEVELOPED.

The resu is of the screening process would be either the proposed sub - projects would be exempted or subjected to further environmental and resettlement assessment. The basis of these options is listed in the table below:

No.	ENVIRONMENTAL		SOCIAL INSTRUMENTS			
	INSTRUMENTS					
	1. The project is cleared. No serious		1. The project is cleared. No serious social			
	impacts. (When all scores are "No" in	1/	impact.	~		
	form)		(Where scores are all "No", "Low" in form)			
	2. There is need for further assessment.	9	2. There is need for resettlement/compensation.			
	(when some score is "Yes, High" in form)		(When some score is "Yes, High" in form			
1 - 3	Need to Prepare a Full ESIA? (If project		3. Need to prepare Resettlement Action Plan -			
	found to fall under the prescribed list)		RAP?			
	3. leed to prepare ESMP? ('Yes' and	. /	Need to Prepare a Stakeholder Engagement	1		
	'High' scores	2	Plan?	U		

# Name Signature: Date Output Date: NOTES: Endorsement by Director of Planning and Development Name: Emmanuel Schaya Signature: Date: Output Signature: Date: Output Date: Ou

- 1. The DPD shall ensure that a completed form is filed within project file immediately after endorsement. EDO should keep a duplicate.
- 2. Project Management Committee will maintain a copy of completed form
- 3. This is just a screening form and not an ESMP. Once the appropriate Instrument (s) to be developed is/are determined using this form, the Local Authority should proceed to develop it accordingly and submit a copy to the NLGFC for review and clearance processing.