DESK APPRAISAL FORM

1. Project Name: CONSTRUCTION OF NEADZIWE FUTAKET STA
Name of the area NGADZIME
TA KANDUKU
Ward MITSECHE
VDC PRINCATI WEAT THU
3. Eligibility Criteria
i. Is the project derived from DDP? Or VAP? Or DDPF? Yes [No []
ii. Is the project in line with DDF guidelines on investment menu? Yes [\(\mathcal{\beta} \)] No []
iii. Is the Project cost within the funding ceilings of the development budget of the council? Yes [No []
iv. Does the area/VDC have any history of project management? How many projects has VDC successfully implemented? Yes [V] No []
Number [4]
v. Are there uncompleted projects under the council in the proposed project site? Yes [] No [1/2]
vi. Is there adequate access to the site, and are transport facilities satisfactory?
Yes [V] No []
4. Technical:
i. Sector of the Project. COMMECIAL
ii. Number of beneficiaries [Male] 200 [Female] 2 600
iii. Distance to the nearest structure (KM)\5
iv. Has the sector sector endorsed proposed project in the proposed site? yes [V] No []

5. Appraisal Criteria

CRITERIA	SCORE (Tick against the score)		
B. PROJECT APPRAISAL	ere)		
(On a scale of 0-2, where: "0" means unsatisfactory and "2" very satisfactor	0	1	20
(i) Is the project consistent with the national, sector and district priorities?		1 1	2
(ii) Is the project drawn from DDP? Or VAPs?	0	1	20
(iii) Can the relevant ministry/department provide any needed technical support to ensure sustainability of the Project (Verify with the relevant department)	0	1	2
(iv) To what extent is the Project likely to benefit the poor/unemployed youth? (viability analysis)	0	1	2
(v) Does the project site permit easy access for all beneficiaries without limitation or impediment? (Assess location and land ownership issues if any)	0	1	2
(vi) Is the project size simple enough to be implemented in one year?	0	1	24
(vii) Is the technology used readily available in the district?		1V	2
(viii) Is there a sound Project sustainability plan?		1	20
(ix) What is the level of experience does the council have to managed such project?		1	2 ~
D. ENVIRONMENTAL APPRAISAL (Using the environmental checklist)	1 va.		
(i) Is the project in environmental hotspot and has .dentified potential negative environment effects if any	0	1~	2
(ii) Are the proposed mitigation measures feasible?		1	21
(iii) Is there a good plan for monitoring environmental effects?	0	1	24
TOTAL SCORE (0 to 24)			21

6. Overall Assessment

Assessment	Decision Guide	Tick as appropriate
Recommended for prioritisation	 If the answer to all the questions in section 6 (Eligibility Criteria) is "YES" If the ove: ∃ll score for section 7 (Appraisal Criteria) is ≥16 (above 67%) 	
Deferred	 If the answer to any of the questions in section 6 (Eligibility Criteria) is "NO". If the overall score for section 7 (Appraisal Criteria) is less than 16 (less than 67%) If the project requires changes in certain areas. 	

7. Appraisal Team

Name	Designation	Signature	Date
1. Crement Kamerend	the	ARIG	02/02/2027
2. NVLSon Entrakumun	9 EDD	Cal Mars	02/02/202
3. Enous Materia	10	Shunger!	02/02/2021
4. Mercy Thoma	BS	nutro	02/02/2023
5. Rodrick Kennyone	mhos		02/02/2023
6. Inc Welcany eles.	DIM	SOL	02/02/2023
7. Wesley Early	2080	A Coll	06027023
8. fmmanvel Stage	DK)	201	92/04/2023
9.			
10.			