

ANNEX 1: DESK APPRAISAL FORM

GESD

1. Project Name: M'VUMBI / NKAYA HEALTH POST

2. Project Location:

Name of the area BALAKA SOUTH CONSTITUENCY

TA NKAYA

Ward UTALE

VDC KAMOWATIMWA

3. Eligibility Criteria

- i. Is the project derived from DDP? Or VAP? Or DDPF?  
Yes [ ☒ ] No [ ☐ ]
- ii. Is the project in line with DDF guidelines on investment menu?  
Yes [ ☒ ] No [ ☐ ]
- iii. Is the Project cost within the funding ceilings of the development budget of the council?  
Yes [ ☒ ] No [ ☐ ]
- iv. Does the area/VDC have any history of project management? How many projects has VDC successfully implemented  
Yes [ ☒ ] No [ ☐ ], Number [ N/A ]
- v. Are there uncompleted projects under the council in the proposed project site?  
Yes [ ☒ ] No [ ☒ ]
- vi. Is there adequate access to the site, and are transport facilities satisfactory?  
Yes [ ☒ ] No [ ☐ ]
- vii. Has land been secured for use by the project?  
Yes [ ☐ ] No [ ☐ ] N/A [ ☐ ]  
If Yes, How?  
1. Will use public land [ ☐ ] 2. Voluntary Donation [ ☒ ] 3. Purchase/compensation [ ☐ ]
- viii. Will the project have irreversible and unmanageable negative effects on the environment?  
Yes [ ☐ ] No [ ☒ ]

#### 4. Technical:

- i. Sector of the Project... HEALTH
- ii. Number of beneficiaries [Male]... 21,986 ...[Female]... 25,014
- iii. Distance to the nearest structure (KM)... 17
- iv. Has the sector endorsed proposed project in the proposed site? yes [☒] No [ ]

#### 5. Appraisal Criteria

CRITERIA	SCORE (Tick against the score)		
<b>B. PROJECT APPRAISAL</b> (On a scale of 0-2, where: "0" means unsatisfactory and "2" very satisfactory)			
(i) Is the project consistent with the national, sector and district priorities?	0	1	2
(ii) Is the project drawn from DDP? Or VAPs?	0	1	2
(iii) Can the relevant ministry/department provide any needed technical support to ensure sustainability of the Project (Verify with the relevant department)	0	1	2
(iv) To what extent is the Project likely to benefit the poor/unemployed youth? (viability analysis)	0	1	2
(v) Does the project site permit easy access for all beneficiaries without limitation or impediment? (Assess location and land ownership issues if any)	0	1	2
(vi) Is the project size of the project simple enough to be implemented in one year?	0	1	2
(vii) Is the technology used readily available in the district?	0	1	2
(viii) Is there a sound Project sustainability plan?	0	1	2
(ix) What is the level of experience does the council have to manage such project?	0	1	2
TOTAL SCORE (0 to 18)			18






#### 6. Overall Assessment

Assessment	Decision Guide	Tick as appropriate
Recommended for prioritisation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If the answer to all the questions in section 3 (Eligibility Criteria ) is "YES"</li> <li>If the overall score for section 5 (Appraisal Criteria) is <math>\geq 12</math> (above 67%)</li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Deferred	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If the answer to any of the questions in section 5 (Eligibility Criteria) is "NO".</li> <li>If the overall score for section 5 (Appraisal Criteria) is less than 12 (less than 67%)</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/>



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If the project requires changes in certain areas.</li> </ul>	
--	---	--

## 7. Appraisal Team

Name	Designation	Signature	Date
1. Joyce Chinderga	CA		15/12/2021
2. Peter Chiumbo	BLO		15/12/2021
3. DA MUSEZAMBA	EO		15/12/2021
4. Blessings Christine	SEHO		15/12/2021
5. Davis Konondo	MGE		15/12/2021