DESK APPRAISAL FORM

March 1 200
1. Project Name: Construction of Boma Shops
2. Project Location:
Name of the area Mhotskin
TA_ Kantukn
Ward Khudzk
VDC MChokwx
3. Eligibility Criteria
i. Is the project derived from DDP? Or VAP? Or DDPF?Yes [√] No []
ii. Is the project in line with DDF guidelines on investment menu? Yes [✓] No []
iii. Is the Project cost within the funding ceilings of the development budget of the council? Yes [/ No []
iv. Does the area/VDC have any history or project management? How many projects has VDC successfully implemented? Yes [V] No []
Number [5]
v. Are there uncompleted projects under the council in the proposed project site? Yes [] No [W
vi. Is there adequate access to the site, and are transport facilities satisfactory?
Yes [V No []
4. Technical:
i. Sector of the Project. Commercial
ii. Number of beneficiaries [Male] 5,000 [Female] 7,000
iii. Distance to the nearest structure (KM)
iv. Has the sector sector endorsed proposed project in the proposed site? yes [\ \ \] No []

5. Appraisal Criteria

CRITERIA	SCORE (Tick against the score)		
B. PROJECT APPRAISAL	, 0		
(On a scale of 0-2, where: "0" means unsatisfactory and "2" very satisfactor	ory)		
(i) Is the project consistent with the national. sector and district priorities?	0	1	2
(ii) Is the project drawn from DDP? Or VAPs?	0	1	2
(iii) Can the relevant ministry/department provide any needed technical			
support to ensure sustainability of the Project (Verify with the relevant department)	0	1	2
(iv) To what extent is the Project likely to benefit the poor/unemployed youth? (viability analysis)	0	1	2 🗸
(v) Does the project site permit easy access for all beneficiaries without			
limitation or impediment? (Assess location and land ownership issues if any)	0	1.	2
(vi) Is the project size simple enough to be implemented in one year?	0	1	2√
(vii) Is the technology used readily available in the district?	0	1	2 V
(viii) Is there a sound Project sustainability plan?	0	1	2/
(ix) What is the level of experience does the council have to managed such project?	0	1	2~
D. ENVIRONMENTAL APPRAISAL	_L		
(Using the environmental checklist)			
(i) Is the project in environmental hotspot and has identified potential	T		
negative environment effects if any	0 🗸	1	2
(ii) Are the proposed mitigation measures feasible?	0	1	2 V
(iii) Is there a good plan for monitoring environmental effects?	0	1	2 V
TOTAL SCORE (0 to 24)			23

6. Overall Assessment

Assessment	Decision Guide	Tick as appropriate
Recommended for prioritisation	 If the answer to all the questions in section 5 (Eligibility Criteria) is "YES" If the overall score for section 7 (Appraisal Criteria) is ≥16 (above 67%) 	
Defer∸ed	 If the answer to any of the questions in section 6 (Eligibility Criteria) is "NO". If the overall score for section 7 (Appraisal Criteria) is less than 16 (less than 67%) If the project requires changes in certain areas. 	

7. Appraisal Team

Name	Designation	Signature	Date .
1. Aldbon Kedrekuman	h Cho	M	27/01/27
2. Concert Vayor en	to		27/01/0
3. Wosley cardi	Depo	Doude	77 01 2022
4. Rathick Kennestry	れんこう	(10)	27/01/2022
5.			,
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			

5. Appraisal Criteria

CRITERIA	SCORE (Tick against the score)			
B. PROJECT APPRAISAL (On a scale of 0-2, where: "0" means unsatisfactory and "2" very satisfactory) 1 2 J				
(On a scale of 0-2, where: "0" means unsatisfactory and 2 very and district priorities?	0	1	$2\sqrt{}$	
i) Is the project consistent with the national, sector and district priorities?	0	1	2	
ii) Is the project drawn from DDP? Or VAPS? iii) Can the relevant ministry/department provide any needed technical support to ensure sustainability of the Project (Verify with the relevant	0	1	2 (
department) (iv) To what extent is the Project likely to benefit the poor/unemployed	0	1	2 🗸	
youth? (viability analysis) (v) Does the project site permit easy access for all beneficiaries without limitation or impediment? (Assess location and land ownership issues if	0	1	2~	
any)	0	1	2	
(vi) Is the project size simple enough to be implemented in one year?	0	1	2 V	
(vii) Is the technology used readily available in the district?	0	1	2 ~	
(viii) Is there a sound Project sustainability plan? (ix) What is the level of experience does the council have to managed such	0	1	2~	
project? D. ENVIRONMENTAL APPRAISAL (Using the environmental checklist)				
(i) Is the project in environmental hotspot and has identified potential negative environment effects if any	0 🗸	1	2	
the street recognition of the street recogni	0	1	2 v	
(ii) Are the proposed mitigation measures feasible?	0	1	2 \	
(iii) Is there a good plan for monitoring environmental effects? TOTAL SCORE (0 to 24)			125	

. Overall Assessment	Decision Guide	Tick as appropriate
Assessment Recommended for prioritisation	 If the answer to all the questions in section S (Eligibility Criteria) is "YES" If the overall score for section 7 (Appraisal Criteria) is ≥16 (above 67%) 	
Defer~ed	 If the answer to any of the questions in section 6 (Eligibility Criteria) is "NO". If the overall score for section 7 (Appraisal Criteria) is less than 16 (less than 67%) If the project requires changes in certain areas. 	