

## Government of Republic of Malawi

## GOVERNANCE TO ENABLE SERVICE DELIVERY PROJECT (GESD)

## **Environmental & Social Screening Form**

(Guidelines: Site inspection of project site. The evaluation results to be a consensus of at least two officials)

District: Balaka
Name of Zone
Nature/Size:
Date of Field Evaluation:
In April 2022
Sector Public works

	Impact		Appraisal		ficance		Proposed Mitigation Measures	
		Yes	No	Low	Medium	high		
1.0	Environmental Screening		19 19 14					
	Will the project generate the following negative impacts							
1.1	Loss of trees/vegetation		V	2 2005 2400 37 645		H421,741,711,711	Entrance in a consistent tip it. As were it of the	
1.2	Soil erosion		1					
1.3	Siltation of water courses		C			E 0 - 1749 -		
1.4	Loss of habitat to wildlife		1/					
1.5	Dust emissions	MAK	100	HAR.				
1.6	Generation of solid wastes	1		1			provisioner dust bin	
1.7	Increased incidences of open defecation	1		L			construct a tollet	
1.8	Borrow pits and pools of stagnant water	MALL	V	WA			Cill the note	
1.9	Rubble/heaps of excavated soils		V				FULL PLES	
1.10	Introduction of Alien / Invasive plants and animal species		V					

1	system due to sand mining  .12 Spread of water related dis-			1				
-	<ul><li>.12 Spread of water related diseases e.g. Diarrhoe</li><li>.13 Loss of soil fertility</li></ul>	a	1-	1	1			- Car
-	14 0			1				Granness comparis
	Contamination from agrochemicals ar pesticides	nd						, J
1				L				
-	annisation of alkalisation of soils			Turner				
-	15 Reduced water quality and quantity 16 Incidence of flooding			1				
1.1	17 Removed of moding			11				
1 1 5 11	17 Removal of native tree species			1/				
2.0	Social and Economic Screening					awasa u t	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	
Total	Continue Screening							
19, 4	Will the project generate the following negative social and economic impacts?	;					arta i	
2.1	Disruption of marriages							
2.2	is aption of marriages	1	0		1			Extraction 1
2.3	and communities to workers and communities	1			11			Germmenty gwarenes
	Risk of child labour		1		1			wear enterly of the
2.4		1	-					awarentess composer atom
2.5	Increase in cases of gender based violence Increase in risk of theft and crime	1	/		V			Euceaped remarkant
2.6	Loss of or imposts	1	,		11		WY:	shipport com polición o
2.7	Loss of or impacts on private land/gardens		12	/			13	anarnes campaign
	Loss of or impacts on private residential premises				7-8-7			
2.8	Loss of or impacts on private commercial		1	1				
	premises						3 1 1 1	
.9	Loss of or impacts on crops for a		1					
	person/persons			-				
.10	Loss of or impacts on forest trees for a		1					
	person/persons		1.					
11	Loss of or impacts on fruit trees for a		V					
	_ persons		1.					
12	Eviction of squatters		1					
13	Eviction of vendors	el.	V	,	6.4			
14	Loss of or impacts on rental	Ox	1	1	X			
	accommodation		1					
6	Closure/blockage of public footpath/road		1 1	,				
7	Blockage of pathways for livestock		B					
8	Loss of or impacts on grazing land		1					
9	Loss of or impacts on cultural sites		V					
0	graveyards, ritual sites		1					
	Loss of or impacts on public facilities –							
	church, borenole water kingle		1					
	Loss of or impacts on access to public							
	water resources/facilities		i					
1	Loss of or impacts on access to natural		1					
_   1	resources		V					
	Conflicts over use of natural resources e.g.							
-   '	water and forest resources		L	1				
1	Loss or impact on communal facilities e.g.							
F	playground,		1					

SCREENING CRITERIA FOR POSITIVE IMPACTS	Yes	No	Lo	Medium	High	Proposed Enhancement
Will the project generate the following positive social and economic impacts?						Measures

₹.3	Asset creation	T	I I	T		1.3 60.1
3.4	Improved transportation	+1				Herlier measures to
3.5	Improved food security					Protect ct
3.6	Increased household income	111				000 0 10 10
3.7	Improved standards of living/social status	1			16-	Promote small scalebu
3.8	Creation of business opportunities	1				1)
3.9	Restoration of vegetative cover		1		1	1)
3.10	Reduced soil erosion	-	1			

Consultation (comments from
beneficiaries)
communitres are existed with The project.
De ser with the profect
they are rady to support the government of
Ortradice John Gormen
Overall evaluation CE

Overall evaluation of Environmental and Socioeconomic Screening Exercises.

The results of the screening process would be either the proposed sub - projects would be exempted or subjected to further environmental and resettlement assessment. The basis of these options is listed in the table below:

Review of Environmental Screening	Tick	Review of Socioeconomic Screening	Tick
1. The project is cleared. No serious impacts. (When all scores are "No" in form)  2. There is need for further assessment. (when some score is "Yes, High" in form)		<ol> <li>The project is cleared. No serious social impact.         (Where scores are all "No", "few" in form)</li> <li>There is need for resettlement/compensation.         (When some score is "Yes, High" in form</li> </ol>	TICK
3. Need to prepare ESMP		3. Need to prepare RAP	

Endorsement by Environmental District Officer	Endorsement by Director of Planning and Development
THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE	Name:
Signature: Date  NOTES:	Signature: Date:

1. The DPD shall ensure that a completed form is filed within project file immediately after endorsement. EDO should keep a duplicate.

2. Project Management Committee will maintain a copy of completed form